



TITLE:

POWERS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

AUTHOR(S):

UCHIYAMA, MITSURU

CITATION:

UCHIYAMA, MITSURU. POWERS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS. 数理解析研究所講究録 1995, 903: 1-5

ISSUE DATE:

1995-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/59409>

RIGHT:

POWERS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

MITSURU UCHIYAMA (内山 亮)

Dept. of Math. Fukuoka University of Education
Munakata, Fukuoka 811-41, Japan (fax 0940-35-1710)
MSC(1991):47B15,47B44,47B65,47C25

1. Introduction

Let $B(\mathfrak{H})$ be the algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . If $T \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ satisfies $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{H}$, then T is said to be nonnegative, and we denote it by $T \geq 0$. If $\operatorname{Re} T = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$ is nonnegative, then T is said to be accretive.

DePrima and Richard [2] showed the following:

Theorem A. *If T^n is accretive for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $T \geq 0$.*

They have proved this theorem by using a mapping theorem for numerical ranges due to T.Kato. For completeness, we give another proof dependent on Sz.-Nagy's technique[8]. Since, for scalar $a > 0$, $(T + a)^n$ is accretive, we may assume that $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) > 0$ and that $\|T\| < 1$. Since the inverse T^{-n} of T^n is accretive, $T^{-n}(I - T)^{-m}$ is accretive too for $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$, because the coefficients of its power series expansion are nonnegative. Thus $\operatorname{Re} T^n(I - T)^m \geq 0$. Using Bernstein's polynomials, for any polynomial $f \geq 0$ on the interval $[0, 1]$, we have $\operatorname{Re} f(T) \geq 0$. Therefore the sequence $\{\operatorname{Re} T^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the moment problem. Thus there is a nonnegative dilation $H \in B(\mathfrak{K})$ of T , that is $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{K}$, $\operatorname{Re} T^n = PH^n|_{\mathfrak{H}}$, where P is the orthogonal projection from \mathfrak{K} to \mathfrak{H} . Hence we get Kadison's inequality $(\operatorname{Re} T)^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(T^2)$, which implies $0 \leq (T - T^*)^2 = -4(\operatorname{Im} T)^2$. Consequently we obtain $T \geq 0$.

2. Powers of Operators

In this section we shall extend Theorem A. For $X \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, a subspace $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ is said to reduce X if $X\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{L}$ and $X^*\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{L}$. Then X can be represented as

$$X = X|_{\mathfrak{L}} \oplus X|_{\mathfrak{L}^{\perp}}.$$

An operator X is called completely non selfadjoint (c.n.s.) if there is no non-zero reducing subspace \mathfrak{L} for X such that $X|_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is selfadjoint.

MITSURU UCHIYAMA

Let us remark that every operator X can be uniquely represented as a sum of a selfadjoint operator and a c.n.s. operator. In fact, $\mathcal{L} := \{x \in \mathfrak{H} : X^n x = X^{*n} x, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a closed subspace of \mathfrak{H} ; since $X^n(Xx) = X^{*(n+1)}x = X^{*n}Xx$ and $X^n X^* x = X^{*n} X^* x$ for any x in \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{L} reduces X , so that $X|_{\mathcal{L}}$ is selfadjoint and $X|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}$ is c.n.s.

Theorem 1. *Let X and Y be in $B(\mathfrak{H})$, and assume that $\operatorname{Re} X \geq \operatorname{Re} Y$. If $X^n + Y^n$ is a selfadjoint operator for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then there is a subspace \mathcal{L} such that \mathcal{L} reduces both X and Y to selfadjoint operators and $(X|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp})^* = Y|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}$, that is,*

$$X = s.a. \oplus T, Y = s.a. \oplus T^*.$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Im} X^n = -\operatorname{Im} Y^n$, $\mathcal{L} := \{x \in \mathfrak{H} : X^n x = X^{*n} x, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ reduces X and Y to selfadjoint operators. We have only to show $(X|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp})^* = Y|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}$. X and Y have the representations: $X = A + iB, Y = C - iB$, where A, B and C are selfadjoint operators. Then the assumption means $A \geq C$. Let us note that \mathcal{L} reduces A, B and C , and that $B|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. We determine the sequences of operators $\{A_n\}, \{B_n\}, \{C_n\}$ and $\{D_n\}$ by $A_1 = A, B_1 = B, C_1 = C, D_1 = -B$, $A_{n+1} = AA_n - BB_n, B_{n+1} = AB_n + BA_n, C_{n+1} = CC_n + BD_n, D_{n+1} = CD_n - BC_n$. It is easy to see that they are selfadjoint; for instance, if they are selfadjoint for n , then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1}^* &= A_n A - B_n B = (AA_{n-1} - BB_{n-1})A - (AB_{n-1} + BA_{n-1})B \\ &= A(A_{n-1}A - B_{n-1}B) - B(B_{n-1}A + A_{n-1}B) = AA_n - BB_n = A_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $X^n = A_n + iB_n, Y^n = C_n + iD_n$. $B_2 + D_2 = 0$ means $(A - C)B + B(A - C) = 0$, from which it follows that $(A - C)B = B(A - C) = 0$, because $A \geq C$. Since $B_{n+1} + D_{n+1} = 0$, we have $(A - C)B_n + B(A_n - C_n) = 0$, from which it follows that $(A - C)B_n = B(A_n - C_n) = 0$, because the range of B is orthogonal to the one of $A - C$. Thus $(A - C)\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathcal{L}$, and hence $(A - C)|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp} = 0$. Consequently we obtain $(X|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp})^* = Y|_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}$. \square

From this theorem and Theorem A we get the following :

Theorem 2. *Let X and Y be bounded operators satisfying $\operatorname{Re} X \geq \operatorname{Re} Y$. If $X^n + Y^n \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then X and Y are selfadjoint operators.*

Corollary 1. *If $X^n + Y^n \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\operatorname{Re} X \geq \operatorname{Re} Y \geq 0$, then $X \geq Y \geq 0$.*

In the above theorems the assumption $\operatorname{Re} X \geq \operatorname{Re} Y$ is indispensable. For instance, take 2×2 matrices:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $X^n + Y^n \geq 0$. However neither matrix is selfadjoint.

POWERS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

3. Commutativity

We consider applications of the above theorems to commutativity of selfadjoint operators.

Theorem 3. *Let A, B and C be selfadjoint operators satisfying $B \geq C$. If $AB^n + C^n A \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and if $A \geq 0$ or $C \geq 0$, then A commutes to B and C .*

Proof. Suppose that $A \geq 0$. Substituting $B + \delta$ and $C + \delta$ ($\delta > 0$) for B and C , respectively, we may assume that $B \geq C \geq 0$ and hence that A is invertible. $AB + CA \geq 0$ implies that $A(B - C)$ is selfadjoint, so that A commutes to $(B - C)$. We have

$$(A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n + (A^{-\frac{1}{2}}CA^{\frac{1}{2}})^n \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Re}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \operatorname{Re}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}CA^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (B - C),$$

by Theorem 2 we get $AB = BA, AC = CA$. Suppose next that $C \geq 0$. Then we may assume that $A \geq 0$. Therefore from the above it follows that A commutes to B and C . \square

Corollary 2. *Let A and B be selfadjoint operators, and suppose that $A \geq 0$ or $B \geq 0$. If $AB^n + B^n A \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then A and B are commutative.*

This was shown in [7]. And then M.Fujii, R.Nakamoto, M.Nakamura[3] and S.Izumino[4] have given the other proofs of it. Let us remark that in this corollary we may exclude the condition : $A \geq 0$ or $B \geq 0$. Indeed, from $A(B^2)^n + (B^2)^n A \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $AB^2 = B^2 A \geq 0$. Since the closures of the ranges of B, B^2 and $|B|$ are equal, for the orthogonal projection P onto this space, we have $PA = AP \geq 0$. Therefore we get $PAPB^n + B^n PAP \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, which implies B commutes to PAP . Thus $AB = APB = PAPB = BPAP = BPA = BA$.

Lemma. *Let A and B be nonnegative selfadjoint operators. If $AB + BA \geq 0$, then $AB^t + B^t A \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.*

Proof. We may assume that B is invertible. For $0 < t < 1$ we have

$$B^t = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{t-1} (B + \lambda)^{-1} B d\lambda,$$

from which $AB^t + B^t A \geq 0$ follows. \square

Let $\{E_\lambda\}$ and $\{F_\lambda\}$ be the spectral families corresponding to selfadjoint operators A and B , respectively. Then we denote $A \prec B$ if $\{E_\lambda\} \geq \{F_\lambda\}$ for every λ . For any

MITSURU UCHIYAMA

$B \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$, there is the supremum $B \vee C$ of B and C in this order and it is equal to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B^n + C^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (see [6],[5],[1]).

Proposition. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator, and B and C nonnegative selfadjoint operators. If*

$$AB^n + C^n A \geq 0 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then A and $B \vee C$ are commutative.

Proof. We may assume that A is nonnegative. We can easily obtain

$$A(B^n + C^n) + (B^n + C^n)A \geq 0 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For each m , we have

$$A(B^n + C^n)^{\frac{m}{n}} + (B^n + C^n)^{\frac{m}{n}} A \geq 0 \text{ for } n = m, m+1, \dots$$

Thus $A(B \vee C)^m + (B \vee C)^m A \geq 0$. By Corollary 2, A commutes to $B \vee C$. \square

Corollary 3. *Let P and Q be orthogonal projections, and suppose that A is a selfadjoint operator. If $AP + QA \geq 0$, then A and $P \vee Q$ are commutative.*

Theorem 4. *Let A, B and C be selfadjoint operators satisfying $BC = CB$. If $AB^n + C^n A \geq 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and if $A \geq 0$ or $B, C \geq 0$, then A commutes to B and C .*

Proof. We may assume that A, B and C are nonnegative. Proposition implies that A commutes to $B \vee C$. Since $A(B - C)$ is selfadjoint, A commutes to $B - C$. From $B \vee C = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B^n + C^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, it follows that $B \vee C$ commutes to B and C , and hence we gain

$B \vee C = \min\{X : X \geq B, X \geq C, XB = BX, XC = CX\} = \frac{1}{2}(B + C + |B - C|)$.
Consequently A commutes to B and C . \square

Corollary 4. *Let P and Q be commutative orthogonal projections, and suppose that A is a selfadjoint operator. If $AP + QA \geq 0$, then A commutes to P and Q .*

At the end of this paper we give a counter example so that in Corollary 4 we can not exclude the condition: P and Q are commutative. Take 2×2 matrices:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $AP + QA \geq 0$, but $AP \neq PA$.

The author thanks F.Kubo and Y.Watatani for relating him to DePrima and Richard's paper [2] after [7] was accepted. Also he would like to express his thanks to the referee for finding some typing errors and for his nice suggestions.

POWERS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

REFERENCES

1. Ando, T.: Majorization, Doubly stochastic Matrices, and Comparison of Eigenvalues. *Linear Alg. its Appl.* 118, 163-248 (1989).
2. DePrima, C. R., Richard, B. K.: A Characterization of the Positive Cone of $B(\mathfrak{H})$. *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.* 23, 163-172 (1973).
3. Fujii, M., Nakamoto, R., Nakamura, M.: Uchiyama's Commutativity Theorem on Positive Operators. *Math. Japonica* 38, 1085-1087 (1993).
4. Izumino, S.: Uchiyama's Commutativity Theorem on Positive Operators II. *Math. Japonica* (to appear).
5. Kato, T.: Spectral Order and a Matrix Limit Theorem. *Linear and Multilinear Alg.* 8, 15-19 (1979).
6. Olson, M. P.: The selfadjoint operators of a von Neumann algebra form a conditionally complete lattice. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 28, 537-544 (1971).
7. Uchiyama, M.: Commutativity of Selfadjoint Operators. *Pacific Jour. Math.* 161, 385-392 (1993).
8. Sz.-Nagy, B.: A Moment Problem for Selfadjoint Operators. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae* 3, 285-293 (1952).